

# RESPONSES TO PUBLIC CONSULTATION

## ARUNDEL DRIVE, FAREHAM

## Appendix B

NAME REF.	COMMENTS/ SUGGESTIONS	OFFICER RESPONSE
1	<b>General</b> - Widen all the roads surrounding Co-op to allow parking and turning and will allow loading/unloading of delivery lorries.	There is no budget available for this purpose
2	<b>Support</b> - Pleased to see something is being done about it, would like smaller vehicles delivering to Co-op which should prevent verges being damaged	Smaller vehicles are now in operation
3	<b>Against</b> - It is the council's own doing by allowing a big shop to expand without additional parking provided, this restriction proposal is going to make matters worse, it will also drive customers away once they start getting parking tickets	Use of the rear access by delivery vehicles will free up space, and the new restrictions will allow an increased turnover of spaces
4	<b>Against</b> - There are services we offer in our salon that can take up to 4 hours and one of the things our clients like about coming to the salon is the ease of parking. It would be unfeasible for our clients to have to walk from afar just to get these services done or to have to leave the salon half way through a service to move their car	For stays of up to four hours, it is appropriate to park further away, which is available in other roads
5	<b>Against</b> - By having loading restriction on one side of the road will move the problem across the road.	This is unlikely given the increased availability of space, but the situation will be monitored
6	<b>Against</b> - Residents close to the shops will not want any further restrictions because there are already some waiting restrictions in place. It is a lot of expense to go to in order to placate a minority.	Residents comments have been taken into account in the report

7	<p><b>Support</b> - Thank you for looking at the situation around Arundel Drive but proposal No Loading and No Waiting Mon-Sat 8am to 6pm, the Co-Op could construed this to mean they can deliver before and after those time and therefore park in those restricted areas as well as continue delivering at unreasonable times.</p>	<p>Deliveries should be made using the rear access which will overcome this concern</p>
8	<p><b>Support</b> - would like restrictions to be extended to include the bend by Tennyson Drive.</p>	<p>The restrictions are sufficient for the present purpose, other needs can be reviewed in due course</p>
9	<p><b>Against</b> - strongly object to the no waiting restrictions outside of his residence. If anything parking a car on the road correctly reduces the speed on Arundel Drive from the many cars making their way home from work.</p>	<p>This has been considered in the report</p>
10	<p><b>Support</b> - pleased that at last something is to be done but I feel that outside No 68 - next to the hairdresser side of the shops, could do with extra restrictions.</p>	<p>The restrictions are sufficient for the present purpose, other needs can be reviewed in due course</p>
11	<p><b>Against</b> - the proposed restrictions are excessive and will lead to problems for the residents of Arundel Drive and surrounding streets. In the last couple of years No Waiting At Any Time restrictions were imposed in Arundel Drive and the surrounding areas to prevent inconsiderate parking, primarily those people wishing to park for free whilst using the trains</p>	<p>This has been considered in the report</p>
12	<p><b>Against</b> - would like to object to the changes proposed to parking and waiting on Arundel drive in Fareham. The problem with parking in this area relates to people stopping to make quick purchases from the co-op. Restricting parking on Arundel drive and on the ends of the adjoining roads will simply move the problem slightly further along the same roads. The parking restriction will also result in those too lazy to walk making circuits around the surrounding roads until they are able to park directly outside the shop causing a hazard to</p>	<p>This has been considered in the report</p>

	pedestrians crossing the street.	
13	<b>Support</b> - Appreciate the effort being made to improve traffic flow by implementing the restrictions. However, there is increased parking on the inside of the bend between Leigh Road and Arundel Drive junction and Tennyson Gardens with Arundel Drive. This causes problems on a very busy road and it is a miracle that there have been no accidents.	The restrictions are sufficient for the present purpose, other needs can be reviewed in due course
14	<b>Against</b> - Deliveries made to the rear of Co-op will be more problematic because residents above the shops and workers park at rear, children also living above shops also play at the back.	These matters would need to be resolved locally as this is not part of the public highway